1	Stepl	hen P. St. Cyr & Associates		
2	17 Sky Oaks Drive			
3	Biddet	Biddeford, Me. 04005		
4	207-42	23-0215		
5	stephe	npstcyr@yahoo.com		
6 7 8		Direct Testimony of Stephen P. St. Cyr in DW 20-112		
9 10	Q.	Please state your name and address.		
11 12 13	A.	Stephen P. St. Cyr of Stephen P. St. Cyr & Associates, 17 Sky Oaks Drive, Biddeford, Me. 04005.		
14 15 16	Q.	Please state your present employment position and summarize your professional and educational background.		
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	Α.	I am presently employed by St. Cyr & Associates, which provides accounting, tax, management and regulatory services. St. Cyr & Associates was established in 1993. The Company devotes a significant portion of the practice to serving utilities. The Company has a number of regulated water utilities among its clientele. I have prepared and presented a number of rate case filings before the New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission. Prior to establishing St. Cyr & Associates, I worked in the utility industry for 16 years, holding various managerial accounting and regulatory positions. I have a Business Administration degree with a concentration in accounting from Northeastern University in Boston, Ma. I obtained my CPA certificate in Maryland, however, I'm not currently licensed in NH due to different licensing requirements. I have a master level Certificate in Taxation from New Hampshire College (now Southern New Hampshire University).		
31 32 33	Q.	Is St. Cyr & Associates presently providing services to Abenaki Water Company ("AWC" or "Company")?		
34 35 36 37 38 39	А.	Yes. St. Cyr & Associates prepared the various exhibits and supporting schedules as well as the written testimony and other rate case filing requirements. Also, St. Cyr & Associates prepare both recent financing applications (DW 20-044 and DW 20-088). In addition, St. Cyr & Associates prepares Abenaki's PUC Annual Reports.		
40 41 42 43 44	Q.	Are you familiar with the pending rate application of the various AWC water and sewer systems and with the various exhibits submitted as Schedules 1 through 4 inclusive, with related pages and attachments for each of the water systems, the sewer system and the combined water system?		
45 46	A.	Yes, I am. The exhibits were prepared by me, utilizing the financial records of the Company.		

 Stephen P. St. Cyr & Associates 17 Sky Oaks Drive Biddeford, Me. 04005 207-423-0215 stephenpstcyr@yahoo.com Q. What is the test year that AWC is using in this filing? A. AWC is utilizing the twelve months ended December 31, 2019 for the Lakeland ("LL") Water and White Rock ("WR") and the 12 months ended 4/30/20 for Tioga Gilford Village ("TGV") and Tioga Belmont ("TB"). AWC is using the 12 months ended 4/30/20 for TGV and TB because there were only 8 months of actual data in the 2019 test year. AWC is also is utilizing the twelve months ended December 31, 2019 for the Lakeland ("LL") Sewer. Q. Before you explain the schedules, please provide a brief overview of AWC. A. AWC, a wholly owned subsidiary of New England Service Company ("NESC"), is a public utility distributing water to approximately 725 customers in Carroll, Belmont, Bow and Gilford, NH. It also provides sewer to 158 customers in Belmont, NH. As a public utility operating in New Hampshire, the Company functions under the rules and regulations prescribed by the New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission ("NHPUC"). Q. Is the rate application applicable to all of AWC's systems? A. No, the rate application excludes the Rosebrook water system. Q. Are there specific things prompting the rate filing? A. Yes. First of all, the WR, TGV and TB all experience net losses during the test year. As such, part of the rate application is simply to allow those systems to recover its costs and earn its PUC approved rates of returm. Second, each of the water systems incurred normal replacement of plant and/or new plant during the test year. As both TGV and TB made significant investments in the purchased and the rate regulation in settments in the purchased and the rate regulation in the subset systems to recover its costs and earn its PUC approved rates of returm. Second, each of the water systems incurred normal replacement of plant and/or new
 Biddeford, Me. 04005 207-423-0215 stephenpstcyr@yahoo.com Q. What is the test year that AWC is using in this filing? A. AWC is utilizing the twelve months ended December 31, 2019 for the Lakeland ("LL") Water and White Rock ("WR") and the 12 months ended 4/30/20 for Tioga Gilford Village ("TGV") and Tioga Belmont ("TB"). AWC is using the 12 months ended 4/30/20 for TGV and TB because there were only 8 months of actual data in the 2019 test year. AWC is also is utilizing the twelve months ended December 31, 2019 for the Lakeland ("LL") Sewer. Q. Before you explain the schedules, please provide a brief overview of AWC. A. AWC, a wholly owned subsidiary of New England Service Company ("NESC"), is a public utility distributing water to approximately 725 customers in Carroll, Belmont, Bow and Gilford, NH. It also provides sewer to 158 customers in Belmont, NH. As a public utility operating in New Hampshire, the Company functions under the rules and regulations prescribed by the New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission ("NHPUC"). Q. Is the rate application applicable to all of AWC's systems? A. No, the rate application excludes the Rosebrook water system. Q. Are there specific things prompting the rate filing? A. Yes. First of all, the WR, TGV and TB all experience net losses during the test year. As such, part of the rate application is simply to allow those systems to recover its costs and earn its PUC approved rates of return. Second, each of the water systems incurred normal replacement of plant and/or new plant during the test year. Both TGV and TB made significant investments in the purchased and
 207-423-0215 stephenpstcyr@yahoo.com Q. What is the test year that AWC is using in this filing? A. AWC is utilizing the twelve months ended December 31, 2019 for the Lakeland ("LL") Water and White Rock ("WR") and the 12 months ended 4/30/20 for Tioga Gilford Village ("TGV") and Tioga Belmont ("TB"). AWC is using the 12 months ended 4/30/20 for TGV and TB because there were only 8 months of actual data in the 2019 test year. AWC is also is utilizing the twelve months ended December 31, 2019 for the Lakeland ("LL") Sewer. Q. Before you explain the schedules, please provide a brief overview of AWC. A. AWC, a wholly owned subsidiary of New England Service Company ("NESC"), is a public utility distributing water to approximately 725 customers in Carroll, Belmont, Bow and Gilford, NH. It also provides sewer to 158 customers in Belmont, NH. As a public utility operating in New Hampshire, the Company functions under the rules and regulations prescribed by the New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission ("NHPUC"). Q. Is the rate application excludes the Rosebrook water system. Q. Are there specific things prompting the rate filing? A. Yes. First of all, the WR, TGV and TB all experience net losses during the test year. As such, part of the rate application is simply to allow those systems to recover its costs and earn its PUC approved rates of return. Second, each of the water systems incurred normal replacement of plant and/or new plant during the test year. Both TGV and TB made significant investments in the purchased and
 stephenpstcyr@yahoo.com Q. What is the test year that AWC is using in this filing? A. AWC is utilizing the twelve months ended December 31, 2019 for the Lakeland ("LL") Water and White Rock ("WR") and the 12 months ended 4/30/20 for Tioga Gilford Village ("TGV") and Tioga Belmont ("TB"). AWC is using the 12 months ended 4/30/20 for TGV and TB because there were only 8 months of actual data in the 2019 test year. AWC is also is utilizing the twelve months ended December 31, 2019 for the Lakeland ("LL") Sewer. Q. Before you explain the schedules, please provide a brief overview of AWC. A. AWC, a wholly owned subsidiary of New England Service Company ("NESC"), is a public utility distributing water to approximately 725 customers in Carroll, Belmont, Bow and Gilford, NH. It also provides sewer to 158 customers in Belmont, NH. As a public utility operating in New Hampshire, the Company functions under the rules and regulations prescribed by the New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission ("NHPUC"). Q. Is the rate application applicable to all of AWC's systems? A. No, the rate application excludes the Rosebrook water system. Q. Are there specific things prompting the rate filing? A. Yes. First of all, the WR, TGV and TB all experience net losses during the test year. As such, part of the rate application is simply to allow those systems to recover its costs and earn its PUC approved rates of return. Second, each of the water systems incurred normal replacement of plant and/or new plant during the test year. Both TGV and TB made significant investments in the purchased and
 Q. What is the test year that AWC is using in this filing? A. AWC is utilizing the twelve months ended December 31, 2019 for the Lakeland ("LL") Water and White Rock ("WR") and the 12 months ended 4/30/20 for Tioga Gilford Village ("TGV") and Tioga Belmont ("TB"). AWC is using the 12 months ended 4/30/20 for TGV and TB because there were only 8 months of actual data in the 2019 test year. AWC is also is utilizing the twelve months ended December 31, 2019 for the Lakeland ("LL") Sewer. Q. Before you explain the schedules, please provide a brief overview of AWC. A. AWC, a wholly owned subsidiary of New England Service Company ("NESC"), is a public utility distributing water to approximately 725 customers in Carroll, Belmont, Bow and Gilford, NH. It also provides sewer to 158 customers in Belmont, NH. As a public utility operating in New Hampshire, the Company functions under the rules and regulations prescribed by the New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission ("NHPUC"). Q. Is the rate application applicable to all of AWC's systems? Q. Are there specific things prompting the rate filing? A. Yes. First of all, the WR, TGV and TB all experience net losses during the test year. As such, part of the rate application is simply to allow those systems to recover its costs and earn its PUC approved rates of return. Second, each of the water systems incurred normal replacement of plant and/or new plant during the test year. Both TGV and TB made significant investments in the purchased and
 Q. What is the test year that AWC is using in this filing? A. AWC is utilizing the twelve months ended December 31, 2019 for the Lakeland ("LL") Water and White Rock ("WR") and the 12 months ended 4/30/20 for Tioga Gilford Village ("TGV") and Tioga Belmont ("TB"). AWC is using the 12 months ended 4/30/20 for TGV and TB because there were only 8 months of actual data in the 2019 test year. AWC is also is utilizing the twelve months ended December 31, 2019 for the Lakeland ("LL") Sewer. Q. Before you explain the schedules, please provide a brief overview of AWC. A. AWC, a wholly owned subsidiary of New England Service Company ("NESC"), is a public utility distributing water to approximately 725 customers in Carroll, Belmont, Bow and Gilford, NH. It also provides sewer to 158 customers in glemont, NH. As a public utility operating in New Hampshire, the Company functions under the rules and regulations prescribed by the New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission ("NHPUC"). Q. Is the rate application applicable to all of AWC's systems? Q. Are there specific things prompting the rate filing? M. Yes. First of all, the WR, TGV and TB all experience net losses during the test year. As such, part of the rate application is simply to allow those systems to recover its costs and earn its PUC approved rates of return. Second, each of the water systems incurred normal replacement of plant and/or new plant during the test year. Both TGV and TB made significant investments in the purchased and
 A. AWC is utilizing the twelve months ended December 31, 2019 for the Lakeland ("LL") Water and White Rock ("WR") and the 12 months ended 4/30/20 for Tioga Gilford Village ("TGV") and Tioga Belmont ("TB"). AWC is using the 12 months ended 4/30/20 for TGV and TB because there were only 8 months of actual data in the 2019 test year. AWC is also is utilizing the twelve months ended December 31, 2019 for the Lakeland ("LL") Sewer. Q. Before you explain the schedules, please provide a brief overview of AWC. A. AWC, a wholly owned subsidiary of New England Service Company ("NESC"), is a public utility distributing water to approximately 725 customers in Carroll, Belmont, Bow and Gilford, NH. It also provides sewer to 158 customers in Belmont, NH. As a public utility operating in New Hampshire, the Company functions under the rules and regulations prescribed by the New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission ("NHPUC"). Q. Is the rate application applicable to all of AWC's systems? A. No, the rate application excludes the Rosebrook water system. Q. Are there specific things prompting the rate filing? A. Yes. First of all, the WR, TGV and TB all experience net losses during the test year. As such, part of the rate application is simply to allow those systems to recover its costs and earn its PUC approved rates of return. Second, each of the water systems incurred normal replacement of plant and/or new plant during the test year. Both TGV and TB made significant investments in the purchased and
 A. AWC is utilizing the twelve months ended December 31, 2019 for the Lakeland ("LL") Water and White Rock ("WR") and the 12 months ended 4/30/20 for Tioga Gilford Village ("TGV") and Tioga Belmont ("TB"). AWC is using the 12 months ended 4/30/20 for TGV and TB because there were only 8 months of actual data in the 2019 test year. AWC is also is utilizing the twelve months ended December 31, 2019 for the Lakeland ("LL") Sewer. Q. Before you explain the schedules, please provide a brief overview of AWC. A. AWC, a wholly owned subsidiary of New England Service Company ("NESC"), is a public utility distributing water to approximately 725 customers in Carroll, Belmont, Bow and Gilford, NH. It also provides sewer to 158 customers in Belmont, NH. As a public utility operating in New Hampshire, the Company functions under the rules and regulations prescribed by the New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission ("NHPUC"). Q. Is the rate application applicable to all of AWC's systems? Q. Are there specific things prompting the rate filing? M. Yes. First of all, the WR, TGV and TB all experience net losses during the test year. As such, part of the rate application is simply to allow those systems to recover its costs and earn its PUC approved rates of return. Second, each of the water systems incurred normal replacement of plant and/or new plant during the test year. Both TGV and TB made significant investments in the purchased and
 ("LL") Water and White Rock ("WR") and the 12 months ended 4/30/20 for Tioga Gilford Village ("TGV") and Tioga Belmont ("TB"). AWC is using the 12 months ended 4/30/20 for TGV and TB because there were only 8 months of actual data in the 2019 test year. AWC is also is utilizing the twelve months ended December 31, 2019 for the Lakeland ("LL") Sewer. Q. Before you explain the schedules, please provide a brief overview of AWC. A. AWC, a wholly owned subsidiary of New England Service Company ("NESC"), is a public utility distributing water to approximately 725 customers in Carroll, Belmont, Bow and Gilford, NH. It also provides sewer to 158 customers in Belmont, NH. As a public utility operating in New Hampshire, the Company functions under the rules and regulations prescribed by the New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission ("NHPUC"). Q. Is the rate application applicable to all of AWC's systems? A. Yes. First of all, the WR, TGV and TB all experience net losses during the test year. As such, part of the rate application is simply to allow those systems to recover its costs and earn its PUC approved rates of return. Second, each of the water systems incurred normal replacement of plant and/or new plant during the test year. Both TGV and TB made significant investments in the purchased and
11Tioga Gilford Village ("TGV") and Tioga Belmont ("TB"). AWC is using the 1212months ended 4/30/20 for TGV and TB because there were only 8 months of13actual data in the 2019 test year. AWC is also is utilizing the twelve months14ended December 31, 2019 for the Lakeland ("LL") Sewer.150.16Q.17Before you explain the schedules, please provide a brief overview of AWC.1718A.19is a public utility distributing water to approximately 725 customers in Carroll,10Belmont, Bow and Gilford, NH. It also provides sewer to 158 customers in11Belmont, Bow and Gilford, NH. It also provides sewer to 158 customers in12Public Utilities Commission ("NHPUC").142015Q.16Q.17Is the rate application applicable to all of AWC's systems?18A.19Yes. First of all, the WR, TGV and TB all experience net losses during the test19year. As such, part of the rate application is simply to allow those systems to19recover its costs and earn its PUC approved rates of return. Second, each of the19water systems incurred normal replacement of plant and/or new plant during the19test year. Both TGV and TB made significant investments in the purchased and
 months ended 4/30/20 for TGV and TB because there were only 8 months of actual data in the 2019 test year. AWC is also is utilizing the twelve months ended December 31, 2019 for the Lakeland ("LL") Sewer. Q. Before you explain the schedules, please provide a brief overview of AWC. A. AWC, a wholly owned subsidiary of New England Service Company ("NESC"), is a public utility distributing water to approximately 725 customers in Carroll, Belmont, Bow and Gilford, NH. It also provides sewer to 158 customers in Belmont, NH. As a public utility operating in New Hampshire, the Company functions under the rules and regulations prescribed by the New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission ("NHPUC"). Q. Is the rate application applicable to all of AWC's systems? A. No, the rate application excludes the Rosebrook water system. Q. Are there specific things prompting the rate filing? A. Yes. First of all, the WR, TGV and TB all experience net losses during the test year. As such, part of the rate application is simply to allow those systems to recover its costs and earn its PUC approved rates of return. Second, each of the water systems incurred normal replacement of plant and/or new plant during the test year. Both TGV and TB made significant investments in the purchased and
 actual data in the 2019 test year. AWC is also is utilizing the twelve months ended December 31, 2019 for the Lakeland ("LL") Sewer. Before you explain the schedules, please provide a brief overview of AWC. A. AWC, a wholly owned subsidiary of New England Service Company ("NESC"), is a public utility distributing water to approximately 725 customers in Carroll, Belmont, Bow and Gilford, NH. It also provides sewer to 158 customers in Belmont, NH. As a public utility operating in New Hampshire, the Company functions under the rules and regulations prescribed by the New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission ("NHPUC"). Is the rate application applicable to all of AWC's systems? A. No, the rate application excludes the Rosebrook water system. Q. Are there specific things prompting the rate filing? A. Yes. First of all, the WR, TGV and TB all experience net losses during the test year. As such, part of the rate application is simply to allow those systems to recover its costs and earn its PUC approved rates of return. Second, each of the water systems incurred normal replacement of plant and/or new plant during the test year. Both TGV and TB made significant investments in the purchased and
 ended December 31, 2019 for the Lakeland ("LL") Sewer. Q. Before you explain the schedules, please provide a brief overview of AWC. A. AWC, a wholly owned subsidiary of New England Service Company ("NESC"), is a public utility distributing water to approximately 725 customers in Carroll, Belmont, Bow and Gilford, NH. It also provides sewer to 158 customers in Belmont, NH. As a public utility operating in New Hampshire, the Company functions under the rules and regulations prescribed by the New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission ("NHPUC"). Q. Is the rate application applicable to all of AWC's systems? Q. Are there specific things prompting the rate filing? Q. Are there specific things prompting the rate filing? A. Yes. First of all, the WR, TGV and TB all experience net losses during the test year. As such, part of the rate application is simply to allow those systems to recover its costs and earn its PUC approved rates of return. Second, each of the water systems incurred normal replacement of plant and/or new plant during the test year. Both TGV and TB made significant investments in the purchased and
 Q. Before you explain the schedules, please provide a brief overview of AWC. A. AWC, a wholly owned subsidiary of New England Service Company ("NESC"), is a public utility distributing water to approximately 725 customers in Carroll, Belmont, Bow and Gilford, NH. It also provides sewer to 158 customers in Belmont, NH. As a public utility operating in New Hampshire, the Company functions under the rules and regulations prescribed by the New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission ("NHPUC"). Q. Is the rate application applicable to all of AWC's systems? A. No, the rate application excludes the Rosebrook water system. Q. Are there specific things prompting the rate filing? A. Yes. First of all, the WR, TGV and TB all experience net losses during the test year. As such, part of the rate application is simply to allow those systems to recover its costs and earn its PUC approved rates of return. Second, each of the water systems incurred normal replacement of plant and/or new plant during the test year. Both TGV and TB made significant investments in the purchased and
 Q. Before you explain the schedules, please provide a brief overview of AWC. A. AWC, a wholly owned subsidiary of New England Service Company ("NESC"), is a public utility distributing water to approximately 725 customers in Carroll, Belmont, Bow and Gilford, NH. It also provides sewer to 158 customers in Belmont, NH. As a public utility operating in New Hampshire, the Company functions under the rules and regulations prescribed by the New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission ("NHPUC"). Q. Is the rate application applicable to all of AWC's systems? A. No, the rate application excludes the Rosebrook water system. Q. Are there specific things prompting the rate filing? M. Yes. First of all, the WR, TGV and TB all experience net losses during the test year. As such, part of the rate application is simply to allow those systems to recover its costs and earn its PUC approved rates of return. Second, each of the water systems incurred normal replacement of plant and/or new plant during the test year. Both TGV and TB made significant investments in the purchased and
 A. AWC, a wholly owned subsidiary of New England Service Company ("NESC"), is a public utility distributing water to approximately 725 customers in Carroll, Belmont, Bow and Gilford, NH. It also provides sewer to 158 customers in Belmont, NH. As a public utility operating in New Hampshire, the Company functions under the rules and regulations prescribed by the New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission ("NHPUC"). Q. Is the rate application applicable to all of AWC's systems? A. No, the rate application excludes the Rosebrook water system. Q. Are there specific things prompting the rate filing? A. Yes. First of all, the WR, TGV and TB all experience net losses during the test year. As such, part of the rate application is simply to allow those systems to recover its costs and earn its PUC approved rates of return. Second, each of the water systems incurred normal replacement of plant and/or new plant during the test year. Both TGV and TB made significant investments in the purchased and
 A. AWC, a wholly owned subsidiary of New England Service Company ("NESC"), is a public utility distributing water to approximately 725 customers in Carroll, Belmont, Bow and Gilford, NH. It also provides sewer to 158 customers in Belmont, NH. As a public utility operating in New Hampshire, the Company functions under the rules and regulations prescribed by the New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission ("NHPUC"). Q. Is the rate application applicable to all of AWC's systems? A. No, the rate application excludes the Rosebrook water system. Q. Are there specific things prompting the rate filing? A. Yes. First of all, the WR, TGV and TB all experience net losses during the test year. As such, part of the rate application is simply to allow those systems to recover its costs and earn its PUC approved rates of return. Second, each of the water systems incurred normal replacement of plant and/or new plant during the test year. Both TGV and TB made significant investments in the purchased and
 is a public utility distributing water to approximately 725 customers in Carroll, Belmont, Bow and Gilford, NH. It also provides sewer to 158 customers in Belmont, NH. As a public utility operating in New Hampshire, the Company functions under the rules and regulations prescribed by the New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission ("NHPUC"). Is the rate application applicable to all of AWC's systems? A. No, the rate application excludes the Rosebrook water system. Q. Are there specific things prompting the rate filing? A. Yes. First of all, the WR, TGV and TB all experience net losses during the test year. As such, part of the rate application is simply to allow those systems to recover its costs and earn its PUC approved rates of return. Second, each of the water systems incurred normal replacement of plant and/or new plant during the test year. Both TGV and TB made significant investments in the purchased and
 Belmont, Bow and Gilford, NH. It also provides sewer to 158 customers in Belmont, NH. As a public utility operating in New Hampshire, the Company functions under the rules and regulations prescribed by the New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission ("NHPUC"). Q. Is the rate application applicable to all of AWC's systems? A. No, the rate application excludes the Rosebrook water system. Q. Are there specific things prompting the rate filing? A. Yes. First of all, the WR, TGV and TB all experience net losses during the test year. As such, part of the rate application is simply to allow those systems to recover its costs and earn its PUC approved rates of return. Second, each of the water systems incurred normal replacement of plant and/or new plant during the test year. Both TGV and TB made significant investments in the purchased and
 Belmont, NH. As a public utility operating in New Hampshire, the Company functions under the rules and regulations prescribed by the New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission ("NHPUC"). Q. Is the rate application applicable to all of AWC's systems? A. No, the rate application excludes the Rosebrook water system. Q. Are there specific things prompting the rate filing? A. Yes. First of all, the WR, TGV and TB all experience net losses during the test year. As such, part of the rate application is simply to allow those systems to recover its costs and earn its PUC approved rates of return. Second, each of the water systems incurred normal replacement of plant and/or new plant during the test year. Both TGV and TB made significant investments in the purchased and
 functions under the rules and regulations prescribed by the New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission ("NHPUC"). Q. Is the rate application applicable to all of AWC's systems? A. No, the rate application excludes the Rosebrook water system. Q. Are there specific things prompting the rate filing? A. Yes. First of all, the WR, TGV and TB all experience net losses during the test year. As such, part of the rate application is simply to allow those systems to recover its costs and earn its PUC approved rates of return. Second, each of the water systems incurred normal replacement of plant and/or new plant during the test year. Both TGV and TB made significant investments in the purchased and
 Public Utilities Commission ("NHPUC"). Q. Is the rate application applicable to all of AWC's systems? A. No, the rate application excludes the Rosebrook water system. Q. Are there specific things prompting the rate filing? A. Yes. First of all, the WR, TGV and TB all experience net losses during the test year. As such, part of the rate application is simply to allow those systems to recover its costs and earn its PUC approved rates of return. Second, each of the water systems incurred normal replacement of plant and/or new plant during the test year. Both TGV and TB made significant investments in the purchased and
 Q. Is the rate application applicable to all of AWC's systems? A. No, the rate application excludes the Rosebrook water system. Q. Are there specific things prompting the rate filing? A. Yes. First of all, the WR, TGV and TB all experience net losses during the test year. As such, part of the rate application is simply to allow those systems to recover its costs and earn its PUC approved rates of return. Second, each of the water systems incurred normal replacement of plant and/or new plant during the test year. Both TGV and TB made significant investments in the purchased and
 Q. Is the rate application applicable to all of AWC's systems? A. No, the rate application excludes the Rosebrook water system. Q. Are there specific things prompting the rate filing? A. Yes. First of all, the WR, TGV and TB all experience net losses during the test year. As such, part of the rate application is simply to allow those systems to recover its costs and earn its PUC approved rates of return. Second, each of the water systems incurred normal replacement of plant and/or new plant during the test year. Both TGV and TB made significant investments in the purchased and
 A. No, the rate application excludes the Rosebrook water system. Q. Are there specific things prompting the rate filing? A. Yes. First of all, the WR, TGV and TB all experience net losses during the test year. As such, part of the rate application is simply to allow those systems to recover its costs and earn its PUC approved rates of return. Second, each of the water systems incurred normal replacement of plant and/or new plant during the test year. Both TGV and TB made significant investments in the purchased and
 A. No, the rate application excludes the Rosebrook water system. Q. Are there specific things prompting the rate filing? 30 A. Yes. First of all, the WR, TGV and TB all experience net losses during the test year. As such, part of the rate application is simply to allow those systems to recover its costs and earn its PUC approved rates of return. Second, each of the water systems incurred normal replacement of plant and/or new plant during the test year. Both TGV and TB made significant investments in the purchased and
 Q. Are there specific things prompting the rate filing? A. Yes. First of all, the WR, TGV and TB all experience net losses during the test year. As such, part of the rate application is simply to allow those systems to recover its costs and earn its PUC approved rates of return. Second, each of the water systems incurred normal replacement of plant and/or new plant during the test year. Both TGV and TB made significant investments in the purchased and
 Q. Are there specific things prompting the rate filing? A. Yes. First of all, the WR, TGV and TB all experience net losses during the test year. As such, part of the rate application is simply to allow those systems to recover its costs and earn its PUC approved rates of return. Second, each of the water systems incurred normal replacement of plant and/or new plant during the test year. Both TGV and TB made significant investments in the purchased and
 A. Yes. First of all, the WR, TGV and TB all experience net losses during the test year. As such, part of the rate application is simply to allow those systems to recover its costs and earn its PUC approved rates of return. Second, each of the water systems incurred normal replacement of plant and/or new plant during the test year. Both TGV and TB made significant investments in the purchased and
 A. Yes. First of all, the WR, TGV and TB all experience net losses during the test year. As such, part of the rate application is simply to allow those systems to recover its costs and earn its PUC approved rates of return. Second, each of the water systems incurred normal replacement of plant and/or new plant during the test year. Both TGV and TB made significant investments in the purchased and
 year. As such, part of the rate application is simply to allow those systems to recover its costs and earn its PUC approved rates of return. Second, each of the water systems incurred normal replacement of plant and/or new plant during the test year. Both TGV and TB made significant investments in the purchased and
 recover its costs and earn its PUC approved rates of return. Second, each of the water systems incurred normal replacement of plant and/or new plant during the test year. Both TGV and TB made significant investments in the purchased and
 water systems incurred normal replacement of plant and/or new plant during the test year. Both TGV and TB made significant investments in the purchased and
35 test year. Both TGV and TB made significant investments in the purchased and
36 installed meters. Both TGV and TB are also seeking recovery of its due diligence
37 costs incurred during its purchase by the AWC and the approval by the NHPUC.
38 Third, each of the water systems has also incurred normal replacement of plant
39 and/or new plant in 2020. Both WR and TB have financing requests before the
40 NHPUC in DW 20-044 and DW 20-088 to make significant improvements to the
41 systems. Fourth, LL Water, WR & TB systems has incurred costs i.e., water
42 boiling, tax rate effect change, water outages, tank inspection, etc. that have been
43 deferred. Those systems are now seeking recovery of such costs over various
44 periods of time. Lakeland and White Rock's last rate increase was approved in
45 DW 15-199, based on a proformed 2014 test year. TGV and TB's last rate
46 increase was approved in DW 10-217, based on a proformed test year for the 12

Stephen P. St. Cyr & Associates 1 2 17 Sky Oaks Drive 3 Biddeford, Me. 04005 4 207-423-0215 5 stephenpstcyr@yahoo.com 6 7 months ended 10/31/09. With the proposed increase in rates and revenues, AWC 8 should be able to eliminate the net loss, recover its investments, earn a fair and 9 reasonable rate of return on its investment and continue to provide service to its 10 customers at fair and reasonable rates. 11 12 Is there anything else prior to summarizing the schedules? Q. 13 14 A. Yes. AWC seeks Commission approval of a year-end rate base. While the 15 Company has always believed that a year-end rate base is appropriate, that is particularly true for WR, TGV and TB due to the investments made during the 16 17 test year. All of these investments are "used and useful" and providing service to 18 customers. 19 20 TGV and TB are also seeking recovery of its due diligence costs. These are the 21 costs that were incurred in the process of purchasing the water system and gaining 22 PUC approval (DW 18-108). The recovery of these costs consistent with the 23 PUC's approval of similar due diligence costs incurred when Abenaki purchased 24 Lakeland, White Rock and Rosebrook. AWC is proposing to amortize the due 25 diligence costs over a 15 year period. 26 27 In addition, AWC is proposing consolidated rates for LL Water, WR, TGV & TB. 28 Unfortunately, any investment of a significant amount causes a significant rate 29 increase, which is particularly hard on water systems with a small number of 30 customers. Given the relatively small number of customers in each of these 31 systems, it's in the customers and the Company's best interest to be able to spread 32 such investments over a larger number of customers. See Mr. LaChance's 33 testimony for further justification. 34 35 Finally, AWC is using a 10.44% return on equity. AWC is utilizing the 36 Commission Staff determined cost of common equity of 9.69% plus .50% for rate 37 case expense savings adder plus .25% exemplary performance adder. AWC 38 believes that it could justify a .50% exemplary performance adder but choose to 39 seek only .25% knowing the amount of the rate increase(s). The justification for 40 exemplary performance is provided as part of the Total Company Schedules. 41 42 43 44 45 46

- Stephen P. St. Cyr & Associates 1 2 17 Sky Oaks Drive 3 Biddeford, Me. 04005 4 207-423-0215 5 stephenpstcyr@yahoo.com 6 7 Would you please summarize the schedules? Q. 8 9 Α. Yes. The schedules consist of AWC 2019, 2018 and 2017 balance sheets and 10 income statements, the 2019 statement of income by system, the 2019, 2018 and 11 2017 capital structures, the 2019 actual and pro forma long term debt and the rate 12 of return information (collectively referred to as the Total Company Schedules). 13 The Total Company Schedules are followed by a set of rate schedules for the LL 14 Sewer entity, the LL Water, WR, TGV and TB water systems, followed by the 15 combined water systems schedules. 16 17 Q. Please describe the Total Company Schedules. 18 19 A. The Total Company Schedules consist of the 2019, 2018 and 2017 balance sheets 20 and income statements, the 2019 statement of income by system, the 2019, 2018 21 and 2017 capital structures, the 2019 actual and pro forma long term debt and the 22 rate of return information 23 24 Overall, Schedule 1a and 1b shows the Company's balance sheet has increased 25 \$487,621 since 2017, including an increase in utility plant of \$599,472. The 26 Company's cash position has been strained, resulting in a significant A/P to 27 Associated Co., which was subsequently converted to additional paid in capital. 28 Company has also experienced increases in preliminary survey and investigation 29 charges of \$102,041 and miscellaneous deferred debits of \$157,681. In addition, 30 the Company has an increase in additional paid in capital of \$474,730 including 31 the previously mentioned conversion of A/P to Associated Co. Its long-term debt 32 decreased in 2018 and increased in 2019. 33 34 Overall, in 2019, Schedule 2a shows the Company's net income amounted to 35 \$29,063. The Company's operating revenues decreased in 2018 and increased in 36 2019, due to an increase in rates in its Rosebrook water system and 8 months of 37 revenue from newly acquired TGV and TB water systems. The Company's 38 operating expenses decreased in 2018 and increased in 2019. The increase in 39 2019 is in part due to O&M expenses associated with newly acquired TGV and 40 TB water system. 41 42 A closer look at the Company's 2019 net income as shown on Schedule 2b 43 reveals that Rosebrook and Lakeland contributed to the overall net income and 44 WR, TGV and TB did not. WR, TGV & TB experienced net losses amounting to 45 \$19,532, \$13,067 and \$19,975, respectively.
- 46

- 1 Stephen P. St. Cyr & Associates
- 2 17 Sky Oaks Drive
- 3 Biddeford, Me. 04005
- 4 207-423-0215

8

9

10

15

23

- 5 stephenpstcyr@yahoo.com 6
 - As such, part of the proposed increase in rates / revenues is to simply allow those systems to cover their expenses and earn their presently PUC approved rate of return.
- Schedule 3 shows the 2019, 2018 & 2017 capital structures. As indicated earlier,
 the Company has an increase in additional paid in capital of \$474,730 including
 the previously mentioned conversion of A/P to Associated Co. Its long-term debt
 decreased in 2018 and increased in 2019.
- 16Schedule 4 shows the Company's long term debt and interest expense for both17actual and pro forma 2019. The actual cost of debt is 4.08%. The pro forma long18term debt includes \$45,000 of NH DWGTF financing for TB tank and gate valves19(subject to PUC approval in DW 20-044) and \$125,000 of NH DWSRF financing20for WR improvements (subject to PUC approval in DW 20-088). The interest21rates on both pending loans are very attractive. The pro forma cost of debt is223.96%.
- 24 Schedule 5 shows the rate of return information including overall rate of return of 25 7.81% and 7.95% for actual and pro forma, respectively. It also shows the capital 26 structures and capital structures percentage for 2019, 2018 and 2017. The 27 Company is utilizing the PUC Staff provided baseline ROE of 9.69%, plus rate 28 case expense savings added of .50%, plus a capital structure adder of .00%, plus 29 an exemplary performance adder of .25%. Please note that the Company is just 30 outside an "acceptable" capital structure with equity of 56 to 60 percent due in 31 part to the conversion of A/P to Associated Co. to additional paid in capital.
- 33 With respect to "exemplary performance," AWC utilizes a NHDES asset 34 management program. The Company performs regularly scheduled leak detection 35 across each of the Company systems. Once identified, the main/service is then 36 scheduled for repair/replacement. The Company also banned non-essential 37 outdoor water usage in the WR and TB. All purchases must be approved via a PO 38 by an officer of the Company. Non-recurring charges in excess of \$500 require 39 multiple vendor prices. The Company's emergency excavator is under 40 agreement, with specified pricing, that the Company has pre-negotiated to ensure 41 competitive pricing. The Company is now evaluating the new arsenic MCL (5 42 ppm) at WR. Further work has yet to be done, but any improvements or techniques necessary will be determined. At TGV, the Company is addressing 43 44 Radium 226 & 228 through a series of sample testing. The forecast is either 45 replacing the present filtration media or blending of source water.
- 46

Stephen P. St. Cyr & Associates 1 2 17 Sky Oaks Drive 3 Biddeford, Me. 04005 4 207-423-0215 5 stephenpstcyr@yahoo.com 6 7 The Company has recently just invested in a Job Order system that tracks 8 customer visits to ensure the concern has been completed satisfactorily to 9 management. These job Orders are archived into the customer profiles for 10 historic use. Recently, the Company rolled out a state of the art emergency alert 11 system that notifies customers via email, phone and text of emergencies or 12 planned work in the systems. The Company accepts credit card and payment via 13 its web site, it recently has added Apple payment methods as well. All of these 14 measures have been taken in part because the Company believes that they better 15 serve customers. While the Company believes that such measures merit .50 basis 16 points being added to the return on equity, it recognized that given the size of the 17 rate increases, it is willing to accept .25 basis points. 18 19 Q. Is there anything else that you would like to address related to the Total Company 20 Schedules? 21 22 No. A. 23 24 Q. Please begin by describing the LL Sewer Schedules. 25 26 The schedule entitled "Computation of Revenue Deficiency for the Test Year A. ended December 31, 2019," summarizes the supporting schedules. The actual 27 28 revenue (deficiency) surplus for LL Sewer for the test year amounts to (\$2,121). 29 It is based upon an actual test year with a 5 quarter average rate base of \$49,752 30 as summarized in Schedule 3. AWC's actual rate of return is 7.81% for the actual 31 test year. The rate of return of 7.81%, when multiplied by the rate base of 32 \$49,752, results in an operating income requirement of \$3,886. As shown on 33 Schedule 1, the actual net operating income (loss) for LL Sewer for the test year 34 was \$1,765. The operating income required, less the net operating income (loss), results in an operating income (deficiency) surplus before taxes of (\$2,121). LL 35 36 Sewer did not calculate the tax effect of the revenue deficiency, resulting in a 37 revenue (deficiency) surplus for LL Sewer of (\$2,121). 38 39 The pro forma revenue deficiency for LL Sewer for the test year amounts to zero. 40 It is based upon a pro formed test year rate base of \$51,375, as summarized in 41 Schedule 3. AWC is utilizing a pro formed rate of return of 7.95% for the pro 42 formed test year. The pro formed rate of return of 7.95% when multiplied by the 43 rate base of \$51,375, results in an operating net income requirement of \$4,084. 44 45 46

1	Stephen P. St. Cyr & Associates			
2	17 Sky Oaks Drive			
3	Biddeford, Me. 04005			
4	207-423-0215			
5	stephenpstcyr@yahoo.com			
6				
7	As shown on Schedule 1, the pro formed net operating income for LL Sewer for			
8	the test year is \$4,085. The operating income required, less the net operating			
9	income, results in a deficiency of zero. The tax effect of the deficiency is zero,			
10	resulting in a revenue deficiency for LL Sewer of zero.			
11				
12	Schedule 1 reflects LL Sewer's Statement of Income. Column b shows the actual			
13	2019 year end balances. Column c shows pro forma adjustments for known and			
14	measurable changes to test year revenues and expenses Column d shows the pro			
15	forma 2019 year end balances. The 2018 and 2017 Statements of Income are not			
16	available since 2019 was the first year in which AWC separated the water and			
17	sewer. During the 2019 test year, LL Sewer net income (loss) was \$1,175.			
18				
19	Schedule 1A shows the pro forma adjustments to revenue and expenses. The			
20	Company made 1 pro forma adjustment to operating revenues totaling \$11,068			
21	and a few pro forma adjustments to operating expenses totaling \$8,764. The			
22	specific pro forma adjustments are identified on the Statement of Income – Pro			
23	forma Adjustments (Schedule 1A). A brief explanation is as follows:			
24				
25	Pro forma Adjustment to Operating Revenues			
26				
27 28	<u>Operating Revenues – \$11,066</u>			
28 29	The Company has increased test year revenues for the proposed amount of			
29 30	The Company has increased test year revenues for the proposed amount of revenues necessary to cover its expenses and allow it to earn its proposed rate of			
31	return.			
32	Tetuin.			
33	Pro forma Adjustments to Operating Expense			
34	To forma Aufustinents to operating Expense			
35	Operating Expenses:			
36	<u>Operating Expenses</u>			
37	Purchased Water Treatment - \$5,035			
38	In 2020 the City of Laconia increased its sewer rates from \$0.0520 to			
39	\$0.0538 and per unit charge from \$12.41 to \$12.86. The resulting increase			
40	amounts to \$2,689.			
41	In 2021 the City of Laconia anticipates increasing its sewer rates from			
42	\$0.0538 to \$0.0557 and per unit charge from \$12.86 to \$13.33. The resulting			
43	increase amounts to \$2,346.			
44				
45				
46				

1	Stephen P. St. Cyr & Associates			
2	17 Sky Oaks Drive			
3	Biddeford, Me. 04005			
4	207-423-0215			
5	stephenpstcyr@yahoo.com			
6				
7	Miscellaneous Pumping Expenses - \$2,206			
8	In 2019 LL Sewer removed and unbound sewer pump 1. Initially, it			
9	charged such expense to miscellaneous deferred debit. Upon further review, it			
10	was determined that the expense was maintenance in nature and should have been			
11	charged to expense. As such, the pro forma adjustment transferred the expense			
12	from miscellaneous deferred debits to miscellaneous pumping expenses.			
13				
14	Lease Agreements - \$59			
15				
16	During the test year LL Sewer incurred \$1,573 for rent of lease space,			
17	both at Laconia, NH and Plainville, CT. In 2020 NESC increased its rent to			
18	\$1,632, resulting in an increase of \$59.			
19				
20	<u>PUC Audit - \$500</u>			
21				
22	In anticipation of a PUC audit, AWC estimated that it will incur \$7,500.			
23	The estimated costs of \$7,500 will be equally allocated among the 5 systems			
24	participating in this rate case, resulting \$1,500 costs per system. No such audit			
25	expenses are reflected in the test year. LL Sewer is proposing to recover the			
26	proposed audit expense of \$1,500 over 3 years, resulting in a test year adjustment			
27	of \$500.			
28				
29	Amortization Expenses – Other - \$594			
30	2019 Tax Rate Effect Change			
31	During 2018 & 2019 AWC incurred \$8,490 of costs related to Dockets IR			
32	18-001 and DW 18-047 related to the PUC investigation to determine the rate			
33	effect of federal and state corporate tax reductions. The investigation involved			
34	AWC preparing a compliance plan along with attachments, the PUC Staff			
35	recommendation and the PUC order approving Staff's recommendation. AWC			
36	later allocated such costs to its 3 systems at the time including Lakeland. In 2019			
37	Lakeland further allocated such costs between water and sewer. LL Sewer costs			
38 39	amounts to \$1,536. LL Sewer is proposing to recover the costs over 5 years, at an			
39 40	annual cost of \$307.			
40 41	2010 Sower Stan Data Increase			
41 42	2019 Sewer Step Rate Increase			
42 43	In DW 15-199 the PUC approved a step increase for an anticipated			
43 44	increase in sewer rates that the City of Laconia charges LL Sewer. LL Sewer			
44	pursued the step increase in 2019 and incurred various \$1,536 costs to do so. LL			
46	Sewer is proposing to recover the costs over 5 years, at an annual cost of \$287.			
10				

1		hen P. St. Cyr & Associates		
2	The set the set	17 Sky Oaks Drive		
3	Biddeford, Me. 04005			
4		23-0215		
5	stephe	enpstcyr@yahoo.com		
6				
7		Income Taxes - \$352		
8				
9		The Company has provided the calculation of the federal income taxes and the		
10		state business taxes (Schedule 1B). The Company has also provided the effective		
11		tax factor (Schedule 1C).		
12				
13		The total pro forma adjustments to Operating Expenses amount to \$8,746.		
14				
15		The net of the pro forma adjustments to operating revenue of \$11,066 and		
16		the pro forma adjustments to operating expenses of \$8,746 results in a net pro		
17		forma adjustment of \$2,320. When the net operating income associated with the		
18		pro forma adjustments is added to net operating income from the test year, the pro		
19		forma test year net operating income totals \$4,085. The pro forma test year net		
20		operating income of \$4,085 allows LL Sewer to cover its expenses and		
21		earn its proposed 7.95% return on its investments.		
22				
23	Q.	Does that complete your description of the pro forma adjustments to revenues and		
24		expenses?		
25				
26	Α.	Yes.		
27	0			
28	Q.	Please describe Schedule 2, the Balance Sheet.		
29		See Tetal Osmanna Dalance Sheet		
30	Α.	See Total Company Balance Sheet.		
31	0	Please continue with an explanation of Schedule 3, Rate Base and the supporting		
32 33	Q.	1 1 0		
33 34		schedule.		
34 35	A.	Schodule 2 reflects I.I. Secure Date Dass for both the 5 quarter everyon and the pro-		
33 36	А.	Schedule 3 reflects LL Sewer Rate Base for both the 5 quarter average and the pro		
30 37		forma year-end balance. Column $b - f$ shows the actual balance at the end of each		
		quarter. Column g shows the average of the 5 quarter balances. Column h shows		
38		the pro forma adjustments. Column i shows the pro forma year-end balance.		
39				
40		Schedule 3A shows the Rate Base – LL Sewer Pro forma Adjustments. Pro forma		
41		adjustments 2 & 5 adjust the 5 quarter average balances to the December 31, 2019		
42		balances. It is appropriate to use the December 31, 2019 balance since all of the		
43		invested capital is fully "used and useful," providing service to customers and no		
44		increase in customers during the test year.		
45				

1		hen P. St. Cyr & Associates	
2	17 Sky Oaks Drive		
3 4		ford, Me. 04005 23-0215	
5	stephe	npstcyr@yahoo.com	
6		A diversion and #2 & #4 and the a diversion enter instant of the Declarte ID 18 001 and	
7 8		Adjustments #3 & #4 are the adjustments related to the Dockets IR 18-001 and	
8 9		DW 18-047 regarding the PUC investigation to determine the rate effect of	
10		federal and state corporate tax reductions and step increase costs totaling \$2,971.	
11		LL Sewer is proposing to recover both costs over 5 years, at an annual cost of	
12		\$594. The half year amortization of such costs is \$297.	
12		Adjustment #6 pertains to cash working capital and shows the additional cash	
13		working capital due to the proposed increase in O&M expenses. The cash	
14			
16		working capital balances are further supported by Schedules 3C.	
17		The Total Pro Forma December 31, 2019 Rate Base balance amounts to \$51,375.	
		The Total TTO Forma December 51, 2019 Rate Dase balance amounts to \$51,575.	
18	~		
19	Q.	Would you please explain Schedule 4, Rate of Return Information?	
20			
21	Α.	See Total Company Capital Structure and Rate of Return Information. Please	
22		note that the Capital Structure and Rate of Return Information is for AWC (Total	
23		Company) and not just LL Sewer.	
24			
25	Q.	Please explain the Report of Proposed Rate Changes.	
26			
27	A.	If LL Sewer's rate filing is approved as submitted, its total sewer Operating	
28		Revenues will amount to \$126,987, an increase of \$11,066.	
29	0		
30	Q.	Is LL Sewer proposing any changes to the methodology used in calculating the	
31		rates?	
32			
33	A.	No. LL Sewer is calculating the new rates in a manner consistent with its present	
34		rates.	
35	0		
36	Q.	When is LL Sewer proposing that the new rates be effective?	
37 38	٨	The mean and effective date is 20 days from LL Server's rate filing	
38 39	A.	The proposed effective date is 30 days from LL Sewer's rate filing.	
40	Q.	Is LL Sewer proposing temporary rates?	
41	Q.	is LL Sewer proposing temporary rates:	
42	A.	Yes. LL Sewer expects to file a separate temporary rate filing, approximately 1 –	
43	11.	2 weeks after the permanent rate filing.	
44		2 mente arter me permanent rate ming.	
45			

1	-	hen P. St. Cyr & Associates	
2	17 Sky Oaks Drive		
3 4	Biddeford, Me. 04005		
4	207-423-0215		
5	stephe	enpstcyr@yahoo.com	
6 7 8	Q.	Would you please summarize what the LL Sewer is requesting in its rate filing?	
9 10	Α.	LL Sewer respectfully requests that the Commissioners approve an increase in annual revenues of \$11,065 for permanent rates.	
11 12 13	Q.	Is there anything further that you would like to discuss?	
14 15	A.	No, there is nothing further to my testimony as it pertains to LL Sewer.	
16 17	Q.	Please begin by describing the LL Water Schedules.	
18	A.	The schedule entitled "Computation of Revenue Deficiency for the Test Year	
19		ended December 31, 2019," summarizes the supporting schedules. The actual	
20		revenue (deficiency) surplus for LL Water for the test year amounts to \$6,261. It	
21		is based upon an actual test year with a 5 quarter average rate base of \$298,944 as	
22		summarized in Schedule 3. AWC's actual rate of return is 7.81% for the actual	
23		test year. The rate of return of 7.81%, when multiplied by the rate base of	
24		\$298,944, results in an operating income requirement of \$23,347. As shown on	
25		Schedule 1, the actual net operating income (loss) for LL Water for the test year	
26		was \$29,608. The operating income required, less the net operating income	
27		(loss), results in an operating income (deficiency) surplus before taxes of \$6,261.	
28		LL Water did not calculate the tax effect of the revenue deficiency, resulting in a	
29		revenue (deficiency) surplus for LL Water of \$6,261.	
30			
31		The pro forma revenue deficiency for LL Water for the test year amounts to zero.	
32		It is based upon a pro formed test year rate base of \$301,317, as summarized in	
33		Schedule 3. AWC is utilizing a pro formed rate of return of 7.95% for the pro	
34		formed test year. The pro formed rate of return of 7.95% when multiplied by the	
35		rate base of \$301,317, results in an operating net income requirement of \$23,955.	
36		As shown on Schodule 1, the une formed and encoding income for LL Weter for	
37 38		As shown on Schedule 1, the pro formed net operating income for LL Water for the test wear is \$22,054. The operating income required less the net operating	
38 39		the test year is \$23,954. The operating income required, less the net operating income, results in a deficiency of zero. The tax effect of the deficiency is zero,	
40		resulting in a revenue deficiency for LL Water of zero.	
40		resulting in a revenue denciency for EL water of zero.	
42			
43			
44			
45			
46			
-10			

.

- Stephen P. St. Cyr & Associates 1 2 17 Sky Oaks Drive 3 Biddeford, Me. 04005 4 207-423-0215 5 stephenpstcyr@yahoo.com 6 7 8 Schedule 1 reflects LL Water's Statement of Income. Column b shows the actual 9 2019 year end balances. Column c shows pro forma adjustments for known and measurable changes to test year revenues and expenses. Column d shows the pro 10 11 forma 2019 year end balances. The 2018 and 2017 Statements of Income are not 12 available since 2019 was the first year in which AWC separated the water and 13 sewer. During the 2019 test year, LL Water net income (loss) was \$24,447. 14 15 Schedule 1A shows the pro forma adjustments to revenue and expenses. The Company made 1 pro forma adjustment to operating revenues totaling (\$3,370) 16 17 and a few pro forma adjustments to operating expenses totaling \$2,284. The 18 specific pro forma adjustments are identified on the Statement of Income - Pro 19 forma Adjustments (Schedule 1A). A brief explanation is as follows: 20 21 Pro forma Adjustment to Operating Revenues 22 23 Operating Revenues -(\$3,370)24 25 The Company has decreased test year revenues for the proposed amount 26 of revenues necessary to cover its expenses and allow it to earn its proposed rate 27 of return. 28 29 Pro forma Adjustments to Operating Expense 30 31 **Operating Expenses:** 32 33 Lease Agreements - \$60 34 35 During the test year LL Water incurred \$1,592 for rent of lease space, both 36 at Laconia, NH and Plainville, CT. In 2020 NESC increased its rent to \$1,652, 37 resulting in an increase of \$60. 38 39 PUC Audit - \$500 40 41 In anticipation of a PUC audit, AWC estimated that it will incur \$7,500. 42 The estimated costs of \$7,500 will be equally allocated among the 5 systems 43 participating in this rate case, resulting \$1,500 costs per system. No such audit 44 expenses are reflected in the test year. LL Water is proposing to recover the 45 proposed audit expense of \$1,500 over 3 years, resulting in a test year adjustment
 - 12

of \$500.

1	Stephen P. St. Cyr & Associates			
2	17 Sky Oaks Drive			
3	Biddeford, Me. 04005			
4	207-423-0215			
5	stephenpstcyr@yahoo.com			
6	stephenpsteyr@yunoo.com			
7	Depreciation Expense - \$1,025			
8	Depresation Expense 41,025			
9	In 2019 LL Water added \$5,162 to plant in service. It recorded \$280 for			
10	related depreciation. The \$280 of depreciation represents ¹ / ₂ year. The pro forma			
11	adjustment for \$280 represents the additional $\frac{1}{2}$ year depreciation in order to			
12	reflect the full year's depreciation of \$560. Also, see Schedule 3B.			
13	Teneet the full year's depreciation of \$500. This, see benedule 5D.			
14	In 2020 LL Water anticipates adding \$5,648 to plant in service. The pro			
15	forma adjustment for \$745 represents the full year's depreciation on the			
16	anticipated 2020 plant in service. Also, see Schedule 3B.			
17	anticipated 2020 plant in service. Anso, see benedule 5D.			
18	Amortization Expense - \$990			
19				
20	In 2017 LL Water incurred \$6,996 related to a water boiling order. LL			
21	Water deferred such costs. It is now proposing to seek recovery over a ten year			
22	period. The annual costs amounts to \$700. Also, see Schedule 3C.			
23	period. The difficult costs amounts to \$700. This , see Senedule 50.			
24	During 2018 & 2019 AWC incurred \$8,490 of costs related to Dockets IR			
25	18-001 and DW 18-047 related to the PUC investigation to determine the rate			
26	effect of federal and state corporate tax reductions. The investigation involved			
27	AWC preparing a compliance plan along with attachments, the PUC Staff			
28	recommendation and the PUC order approving Staff's recommendation. AWC			
29	later allocated such costs to its 3 systems at the time including Lakeland. In 2019			
30	Lakeland further allocated such costs between water and sewer. LL Water costs			
31	amounts to \$1,453. LL Water is proposing to recover the costs over 5 years, at an			
32	annual cost of \$290. Also, see Schedule 3C.			
33	······			
34	Taxes other than Income - 157			
35				
36	In 2020 LL Water anticipates adding \$5,648 to plant in service. The			
37	addition of \$5,648 to plant in service increases the property tax valuation for both			
38	state and local property taxes. The calculation of the increase amounts to \$35 and			
39	\$122 for state and local property taxes, respectively. Also, see Schedule 3B.			
40				
41	Income Taxes – (\$448)			
42				
43	The Company has provided the calculation of the federal income taxes and			
44	the state business taxes (Schedule 1B). The Company has also provided the			
45	effective tax factor (Schedule 1C).			
46				

1		hen P. St. Cyr & Associates		
2	17 Sky Oaks Drive			
3	Biddeford, Me. 04005			
4	207-423-0215			
5	stephe	npstcyr@yahoo.com		
6				
7		The total pro forma adjustments to Operating Expenses amount to \$2,284.		
8				
9		The net of the pro forma adjustments to operating revenue of (\$3,370) and		
10		the pro forma adjustments to operating expenses of \$2,284 results in a net pro		
11		forma adjustment of (\$5,654). When the net operating income associated with the		
12		pro forma adjustments is added to net operating income from the test year, the pro		
13		forma test year net operating income totals \$23,294. The pro forma test year net		
14		operating income of \$23,294 allows LL Water to cover its expenses and		
15		earn its proposed 7.95% return on its investments.		
16				
17	Q.	Does that complete your description of the pro forma adjustments to revenues and		
18		expenses?		
19				
20	A.	Yes.		
21				
22	Q.	Please describe Schedule 2, the Balance Sheet.		
23				
24	Α.	See Total Company Balance Sheet.		
25				
26	Q.	Please continue with an explanation of Schedule 3, Rate Base and the supporting		
27		schedule.		
28				
29	Α.	Schedule 3 reflects LL Water Rate Base for both the 5 quarter average and the pro		
30		forma year-end balance. Column $b - f$ shows the actual balance at the end of each		
31		quarter. Column g shows the average of the 5 quarter balances. Column h shows		
32		the pro forma adjustments. Column i shows the pro forma year-end balance.		
33				
34		Schedule 3A shows the Rate Base – LL Water Pro forma Adjustments. Pro forma		
35		adjustments 1, 3 8, 9 & 10 adjust the 5 quarter average balances to the December		
36		31, 2019 balances. It is appropriate to use the December 31, 2019 balance since		
37		all of the invested capital is fully "used and useful," providing service to		
38				
38 39		customers with no increase in customers during the test year.		
40		A division the addition to plant in convice for the anticipated additions to		
40 41		Adjustment #2 is the addition to plant in service for the anticipated additions to plant in 2020 amounting to \$5,648. Also, see Schedule 3B.		
41		plant in 2020 amounting to \$3,046. Also, see Schedule 3D.		
42 43		Adjustment #s A and 5 are the additions to accumulated depression for the		
43 44		Adjustment #s 4 and 5 are the additions to accumulated depreciation for the		
44 45		additional ¹ / ₂ year depreciation of \$280 on 2019 additions and the ¹ / ₂ year depreciation of \$272 on anticipated 2020 additions to plant. Also, see Schedule		
45 46		depreciation of \$372 on anticipated 2020 additions to plant. Also, see Schedule		
40		3B.		

1	-	hen P. St. Cyr & Associates	
2	17 Sky Oaks Drive		
3	Biddeford, Me. 04005		
4		23-0215	
5	stepne	npstcyr@yahoo.com	
6			
7		Adjustments #6 & #7 are the adjustments related to the 2017 water boiling	
8		amounting to \$6,996 and the Dockets IR 18-001 and DW 18-047 regarding the	
9		PUC investigation to determine the rate effect of federal and state corporate tax	
10		reductions amounting to \$1,453. The total addition to rate base is \$8,449. Also,	
11		see Schedule 3C. LL Water is proposing that the 2017 water boiling costs and the	
12		PUC investigation costs be recovered over 10 years and 5 years, respectively.	
13		The total ¹ / ₂ year amortization amounts to \$495. Also, see Schedule 3C	
14			
15		Adjustment #11 pertains to cash working capital and shows the additional cash	
16		working capital due to the proposed increase in O&M expenses. The cash	
17		working capital balances are further supported by Schedules 3D.	
18 19		The Total Dro Forma December 21, 2010 Data Dasa balance emounts to \$2,272	
		The Total Pro Forma December 31, 2019 Rate Base balance amounts to \$2,373.	
20			
21	Q.	Would you please explain Schedule 4, Rate of Return Information?	
22			
23	A.	See Total Company Capital Structure and Rate of Return Information. Please	
24		note that the Capital Structure and Rate of Return Information is for AWC (Total	
25		Company) and not just LL Water.	
26			
27	Q.	Please explain the Report of Proposed Rate Changes.	
28			
29	A.	If LL Water's rate filing is approved as submitted, its total water Operating	
30		Revenues will amount to \$126,077, an increase of \$102.	
31			
32	R.	Is LL Water proposing any changes to the methodology used in calculating the	
33		rates?	
34			
35	А.	No. LL Water is calculating the new rates in a manner consistent with its present	
36		rates.	
37	_		
38	R.	When is LL Water proposing that the new rates be effective?	
39			
40	A.	The proposed effective date is 30 days from LL Water's rate filing.	
41	0		
42	Q.	Is LL Water proposing temporary rates?	
43	٨	Voc. II. Water expects to file a concrete temporemy rate filing engenering to be 1	
44 45	Α.	Yes. LL Water expects to file a separate temporary rate filing, approximately 1 – 2 weeks after the permanent rate filing.	
43		2 weeks after the permanent rate ming.	

1	Step	hen P. St. Cyr & Associates		
2	17 Sky Oaks Drive			
3	Biddeford, Me. 04005			
4	207-423-0215			
5	stephenpstcyr@yahoo.com			
6	-			
7	Q.	Would you please summarize what the LL Water is requesting in its rate filing?		
8				
9	A.	LL Water respectfully requests that the Commissioners approve an increase in		
10		annual revenues of \$102 for permanent rates.		
11	•			
12	Q.	Is there anything further that you would like to discuss?		
13				
14	A.	No, there is nothing further to my testimony as it pertains to LL Water.		
15	0	Disease having her describing the W/D Water Cale dates		
16 17	Q.	Please begin by describing the WR Water Schedules.		
17	A.	The schedule entitled "Computation of Revenue Deficiency for the Test Year		
19	л.	ended December 31, 2019," summarizes the supporting schedules. The actual		
20		revenue (deficiency) surplus for WR for the test year amounts to (\$36,243). It is		
20		based upon an actual test year with a 5 quarter average rate base of \$243,250 as		
22		summarized in Schedule 3. AWC's actual rate of return is 7.81% for the actual		
23		test year. The rate of return of 7.81%, when multiplied by the rate base of		
24		\$243,250, results in an operating income requirement of \$18,998. As shown on		
25		Schedule 1, the actual net operating income (loss) for WR for the test year was		
26		(\$17,425). The operating income required, less the net operating income (loss),		
27		results in an operating income (deficiency) surplus before taxes of (\$36,423). WR		
28		did not calculate the tax effect of the revenue deficiency, resulting in a revenue		
29		(deficiency) surplus for WR of (\$36,423).		
30				
31		The pro forma revenue deficiency for WR for the test year amounts to zero. It is		
32		based upon a pro formed test year rate base of \$507,033, as summarized in		
33		Schedule 3. AWC is utilizing a pro formed rate of return of 7.95% for the pro		
34		formed test year. The pro formed rate of return of 7.95% when multiplied by the		
35		rate base of \$507,033, results in an operating net income requirement of \$40,309.		
36				
37		As shown on Schedule 1, the pro formed net operating income for WR for the test		
38		year is \$40,309. The operating income required, less the net operating income,		
39		results in a deficiency of zero. The tax effect of the deficiency is zero, resulting in		
40		a revenue deficiency for WR of zero.		
41				
42				
43				
44 45				
46				

1	Stephen P. St. Cyr & Associates			
2	17 Sky Oaks Drive			
3	Biddeford, Me. 04005			
4	207-423-0215			
5	stephenpstcyr@yahoo.com			
6	stephenpsteynayunoo.com			
7				
8	Schedule 1 reflects WR's Statements of Income. Column b shows the actual 2019			
9	year end balances. Column c shows pro forma adjustments for known and			
10	measurable changes to test year revenues and expenses. Column d shows the pro			
11	forma 2019 year end balances. The 2018 and 2017 Statements of Income are			
12	shown in columns e and f, respectively. During the 2019 test year, WR net			
12	income (loss) was (\$19,532).			
14	1000000000000000000000000000000000000			
15	Schedule 1A shows the pro forma adjustments to revenue and expenses. The			
16	Company made 1 pro forma adjustment to operating revenues totaling \$99,778			
17	and a few pro forma adjustments to operating expenses totaling \$42,044. The			
18	specific pro forma adjustments are identified on the Statement of Income – Pro			
19	forma Adjustments (Schedule 1A). A brief explanation is as follows:			
20	forma Aujustments (Senedule TA). A oner explanation is as follows.			
20	Pro forma Adjustment to Operating Revenues			
22	110 Ionna Aufustment to Operating Revenues			
22	Operating Revenues – \$99,778			
23	<u>Operating Revenues – \$77,776</u>			
25	The Company has increased test year revenues for the proposed amount of			
26	revenues necessary to cover its expenses and allow it to earn its proposed rate of			
27	return.			
28				
29	Pro forma Adjustments to Operating Expense			
30	The terms rajubiliterite to operating Expense			
31	Operating Expenses:			
32	<u>operanting Emperators</u>			
33	Lease Agreements - \$81			
34				
35	During the test year WR incurred \$1,881 for rent of lease space, both at			
36	Laconia, NH and Plainville, CT. In 2020 NESC increased its rent to \$1,962,			
37	resulting in an increase of \$60.			
38				
39	PUC Audit - \$500			
40				
41	In anticipation of a PUC audit, AWC estimated that it will incur \$7,500.			
42	The estimated costs of \$7,500 will be equally allocated among the 5 systems			
43	participating in this rate case, resulting \$1,500 costs per system. No such audit			
44	expenses are reflected in the test year. WR is proposing to recover the proposed			
45	audit expense of \$1,500 over 3 years, resulting in a test year adjustment of \$500.			
46				
40				

1	Stanhan D. St. Cur. & Associates
1	Stephen P. St. Cyr & Associates
2	17 Sky Oaks Drive Biddeford, Me. 04005
3 4	207-423-0215
5	stephenpstcyr@yahoo.com
6	stephenpstcyr@yanoo.com
7	Depreciation Expense - \$5,911
8	Depreciation Expense - \$5,911
9	In 2019 WR added \$22,107 to plant in service. It recorded \$835 for
10	related depreciation. The \$835 of depreciation represents ¹ / ₂ year. The pro forma
11	adjustment for \$835 represents the additional ¹ / ₂ year depreciation in order to
12	reflect the full year's depreciation of \$1,657. Also, see Schedule 3B.
12	Teneet the full year's depreciation of \$1,057. Also, see benedule 5D.
13	In 2020 WR anticipates adding \$169,097 to plant in service. The pro
15	forma adjustment for \$5,076 represents the full year's depreciation on the
16	anticipated 2020 plant in service. Also, see Schedule 3B.
17	unicipated 2020 plant in bervice. This, see Senedale 3D.
18	Amortization of CIAC - \$1,600
19	
20	In 2019/2020, WR had its tanks inspected, costing a total \$26,512. WR
21	received a grant of \$8,000 from WR deferred the costs and the related grant.
22	WR is now seeking recovery of the amount and the related grant over 5 year. The
23	annual amortization of CIAC over 5 years amounts to \$1,600. Also, see Schedule
24	3C.
25	
26	Amortization Expense associated with Miscellaneous Deferred Debits - \$14,380
27	
28	In 2018 & 2019 WR incurred significant expenditures amounting to
29	\$87,625 related to water outages. WR deferred such costs. It is now proposing to
30	seek recovery over a ten year period. The annual costs amounts to \$8,763. Also,
31	see Schedule 3C.
32	
33	During 2018 & 2019 AWC incurred \$8,490 of costs related to Dockets IR
34	18-001 and DW 18-047 related to the PUC investigation to determine the rate
35	effect of federal and state corporate tax reductions. The investigation involved
36	AWC preparing a compliance plan along with attachments, the PUC Staff
37	recommendation and the PUC order approving Staff's recommendation. AWC
38	later allocated such costs to its 3 systems at the time including WR. WR costs
39	amounts to \$1,577. WR is proposing to recover the costs over 5 years, at an
40	annual cost of \$315. Also, see Schedule 3C.
41	In 2010/2020 WD had its toning immented anothing a total \$26.510 WD
42	In 2019/2020, WR had its tanks inspected, costing a total \$26,512. WR
43	received a grant of \$8,000 from WR deferred the costs and the related grant.
44	WR is now seeking recovery of the amount and the related grant over 5 year. The annual costs over 5 years amount to $55,202$. Also, see Schedule 3C
45 46	annual costs over 5 years amount to \$5,302. Also, see Schedule 3C.
46	

1	Stepl	hen P. St. Cyr & Associates	
2	17 Sky Oaks Drive		
3	Biddeford, Me. 04005		
4		23-0215	
5		npstcyr@yahoo.com	
6			
7		Taxes other than Income – 4,281	
8			
9		In 2020 WR anticipates adding \$169,097 to plant in service. The addition	
10		of \$169,097 to plant in service increases the property tax valuation for both state	
11		and local property taxes. The calculation of the increase amounts to \$861 and	
12		\$3,420 for state and local property taxes, respectively. Also, see Schedule 3B.	
12		\$3,420 for state and local property taxes, respectively. Also, see benedule 3D.	
13		<u>Income_Taxes - \$18,490</u>	
15		<u>Income_raxes = \$18,490</u>	
16		The Company has provided the calculation of the federal income taxes and	
17		the state business taxes (Schedule 1B). The Company has also provided the	
18		effective tax factor (Schedule 1C).	
18		effective tax factor (Schedule TC).	
		The total and former a diverture of the Operation Francesco and south to	
20		The total pro forma adjustments to Operating Expenses amount to	
21		\$42,044.	
22			
23		The net of the pro forma adjustments to operating revenue of \$99,778 and	
24		the pro forma adjustments to operating expenses of \$42,044 results in a net pro	
25		forma adjustment of \$57,734. When the net operating income associated with the	
26		pro forma adjustments is added to net operating income from the test year, the pro	
27		forma test year net operating income totals \$40,309. The pro forma test year net	
28		operating income of \$40,309 allows WR to cover its expenses and earn its	
29		proposed 7.95% return on its investments.	
30			
31	Q.	Does that complete your description of the pro forma adjustments to revenues and	
32		expenses?	
33			
34	А.	Yes.	
35			
36	Q.	Please describe Schedule 2, the Balance Sheet.	
37			
38	Α.	See Total Company Balance Sheet.	
39			
40	Q.	Please continue with an explanation of Schedule 3, Rate Base and the supporting	
41		schedule.	
42			
43	A.	Schedule 3 reflects WR Rate Base for both the 5 quarter average and the pro	
44		forma year-end balance. Column $b - f$ shows the actual balance at the end of each	
45		quarter. Column g shows the average of the 5 quarter balances. Column h shows	
46		the pro forma adjustments. Column i shows the pro forma year-end balance.	

	3		
1	Stephen P. St. Cyr & Associates		
2	17 Sky Oaks Drive		
3	Biddeford, Me. 04005		
4	207-423-0215		
5	stephenpstcyr@yahoo.com		
6			
7	Schedule 3A shows the Rate Base – WR Pro forma Adjustments. Pro forma		
8	adjustments 1, 3, 8, 10 & 12 adjust the 5 quarter average balances to the		
9	December 31, 2019 balances. It is appropriate to use the December 31, 2019		
10	balance since all of the invested capital is fully "used and useful," providing		
11	service to customers with no increase in customers during the test year.		
12	service to customers with no mercase in customers during the test year.		
12	Adjustment #2 is the addition to plant in service for the anticipated additions to		
13	plant in 2020 amounting to \$169,097. Also, see Schedule 3B.		
15	plant in 2020 anothing to \$103,037. This, see Schedule 3.5.		
16	Adjustment #s 4 and 5 are the additions to accumulated depreciation for the		
17	additional ¹ / ₂ year depreciation of \$835 on 2019 additions and the ¹ / ₂ year		
18	depreciation of \$2,538 on anticipated 2020 additions to plant. Also, see Schedule		
19	3B.		
20			
21	Adjustments #6 & #7 are the adjustments related to the 2018 & 2019 water		
22	outages amounting to \$87,625, the Dockets IR 18-001 and DW 18-047 regarding		
23	the PUC investigation to determine the rate effect of federal and state corporate		
24	tax reductions amounting to \$1,577 and the 2019/2020 tank inspections		
25	amounting to \$26,512. The total addition to rate base is \$115,714. Also, see		
26	Schedule 3C. WR is proposing that the 2018 & 2019 water outages over 10 years		
27	and the PUC investigation and tank inspection 5 years. The total ¹ / ₂ year		
28	amortization amounts to \$7,190. Also, see Schedule 3C.		
29			
30	Adjustment #s 9 and 11 are related to the \$8,000 grant for the tank inspections		
31	reflected as CIAC and the ¹ / ₂ year amortization of CIAC amounting to \$800.		
32	Also, see Schedule 3C.		
33			
34	Adjustment #13 pertains to cash working capital and shows the additional cash		
35	working capital due to the proposed increase in O&M expenses. The cash		
36	working capital balances are further supported by Schedules 3D.		
37			
38	The Total Pro Forma December 31, 2019 Rate Base balance amounts to		
39	\$263,783.		
40			
41			
42			
43			
44			
45			
J			

1	Stephen P. St. Cyr & Associates		
2 3	17 Sky Oaks Drive Biddeford, Me. 04005		
4		23-0215	
5		enpstcyr@yahoo.com	
6	stephe	Inpstey1@yanoo.com	
7			
8	Q.	Would you please explain Schedule 4, Rate of Return Information?	
	×۰	would you please explain Schedule 1, faite of feetain mioniation.	
9		See Tetal Commence Consider Structure and Data of Data in Information Diagon	
10	A.	See Total Company Capital Structure and Rate of Return Information. Please	
11 12		note that the Capital Structure and Rate of Return Information is for AWC (Total	
12		Company) and not just WR.	
13	0	Please explain the Report of Proposed Rate Changes.	
15	Q.	Please explain the Report of Proposed Rate Changes.	
16	A.	If WR's rate filing is approved as submitted, its total water Operating Revenues	
17	л.	will amount to \$184,611, an increase of \$102,475.	
18			
19	S.	Is WR proposing any changes to the methodology used in calculating the rates?	
20	5.	is the proposing any changes to the methodology used in calculating the fates.	
21	A.	No. WR is calculating the new rates in a manner consistent with its present rates.	
22			
23	S.	When is WR proposing that the new rates be effective?	
24			
25	A.	The proposed effective date is 30 days from WR's rate filing.	
26			
27	Q.	Is WR proposing temporary rates?	
28			
29	A.	Yes. WR expects to file a separate temporary rate filing, approximately $1-2$	
30		weeks after the permanent rate filing.	
31			
32	Q.	Would you please summarize what the WR is requesting in its rate filing?	
33			
34	A.	WR respectfully requests that the Commissioners approve an increase in annual	
35		revenues of \$102,475 for permanent rates.	
36	~		
37	Q.	Is there anything further that you would like to discuss?	
38			
39	A.	No, there is nothing further to my testimony as it pertains to WR.	
40			
41			
42 43			
43 44			
44			
45			
40			

- 1 Stephen P. St. Cyr & Associates
- 2 17 Sky Oaks Drive
- 3 Biddeford, Me. 04005
- 4 207-423-0215

27

- 5 stephenpstcyr@yahoo.com 6
- 7 Q. Please begin by describing the TGV Water Schedules.
 8
- 9 The schedule entitled "Computation of Revenue Deficiency for the Test Year A. 10 ended December 31, 2019," summarizes the supporting schedules. The actual 11 revenue (deficiency) surplus for TGV for the test year amounts to (\$33,209). It is 12 based upon an actual test year with a 5 quarter average rate base of \$119,913 as 13 summarized in Schedule 3. AWC's actual rate of return is 7.81% for the actual 14 test year. The rate of return of 7.81%, when multiplied by the rate base of 15 \$119,913, results in an operating income requirement of \$9,365. As shown on 16 Schedule 1, the actual net operating income (loss) for TGV for the test year was 17 (\$23,844). The operating income required, less the net operating income (loss), 18 results in an operating income (deficiency) surplus before taxes of (\$33,209). 19 TGV did not calculate the tax effect of the revenue deficiency, resulting in a 20 revenue (deficiency) surplus for TGV of (\$33,209).
- The pro forma revenue deficiency for TGV for the test year amounts to zero. It is based upon a pro formed test year rate base of \$145,202, as summarized in Schedule 3. AWC is utilizing a pro formed rate of return of 7.95% for the pro formed test year. The pro formed rate of return of 7.95% when multiplied by the rate base of \$145,202, results in an operating net income requirement of \$11,544.
- As shown on Schedule 1, the pro formed net operating income for TGV for the test year is \$11,544. The operating income required, less the net operating income, results in a deficiency of zero. The tax effect of the deficiency is zero, resulting in a revenue deficiency for TGV of zero.
- 33 Schedule 1 reflects TGV's Statements of Income for the 12 months ended 34 4/30/20. As previously stated, TGV is using the 12 months ended 4/30/20 35 because there were only 8 months of actual data in the 2019 test year. Column b 36 shows the actual 12 months ended 4/30/20. Column c shows pro forma 37 adjustments for known and measurable changes to test year revenues and 38 expenses. Column d shows the pro forma 12 months ended 4/30/20. The 12 39 months ended 4/30/19 and 4/30/18 was prior to AWC's ownership. During the 12 40 months ended 4/30/20, TGV net income (loss) was (\$24,600). 41
- Schedule 1A shows the pro forma adjustments to revenue and expenses. The
 Company made 1 pro forma adjustment to operating revenues totaling \$47,942
 and a few pro forma adjustments to operating expenses totaling \$12,554. The
 specific pro forma adjustments are identified on the Statement of Income Pro
 forma Adjustments (Schedule 1A). A brief explanation is as follows:

1	Stephen P. St. Cyr & Associates		
2	17 Sky Oaks Drive		
3	Biddeford, Me. 04005		
4	207-423-0215		
5	stephenpstcyr@yahoo.com		
6 7	Dro forme Adjustment to Operating Devenues		
8	Pro forma Adjustment to Operating Revenues		
9	Operating Revenues – \$47,942		
10	Operating Revenues – \$+7,9+2		
11	The Company has increased test year revenues for the proposed amount of		
12	revenues necessary to cover its expenses and allow it to earn its proposed rate of		
13	return.		
14			
15	Pro forma Adjustments to Operating Expense		
16			
17	Operating Expenses:		
18			
19	Lease Agreements - \$343		
20			
21	During the test year TGV incurred \$463 for rent of lease space, both at		
22	Laconia, NH and Plainville, CT. In 2020 NESC increased its rent to \$806,		
23	resulting in an increase of \$343.		
24			
25	<u>PUC Audit - \$500</u>		
26			
27	In anticipation of a PUC audit, AWC estimated that it will incur \$7,500.		
28	The estimated costs of \$7,500 will be equally allocated among the 5 systems		
29	participating in this rate case, resulting \$1,500 costs per system. No such audit		
30	expenses are reflected in the test year. TGV is proposing to recover the proposed		
31	audit expense of \$1,500 over 3 years, resulting in a test year adjustment of \$500.		
32	Democratical Education (1996		
33 34	Depreciation Expense - \$886		
34 35	In 2019 TGV added \$31,715 to plant in service. It recorded \$783 for		
35 36	related depreciation. The \$783 of depreciation represents ½ year. The pro forma		
37	adjustment for \$786 represents the additional ¹ / ₂ year depreciation in order to		
38	reflect the full year's depreciation of \$1,566. Also, see Schedule 3B.		
39	reflect the full year 3 depredation of \$1,500. This, see benedule 5D.		
40	In 2020 TGV anticipates adding \$1,471 to plant in service. The pro forma		
41	adjustment for \$100 represents the full year's depreciation on the anticipated 2020		
42	plant in service. Also, see Schedule 3B.		
43			
44			
45			
46			

1	Stephen P. St. Cyr & Associates		
2	17 Sky Oaks Drive		
3	Biddeford, Me. 04005		
4	207-423-0215		
5	stephenpstcyr@yahoo.com		
6			
7	Amortization of Organizational Costs - \$2,232		
8			
9	AWC incurred due diligence costs in the process of purchasing the TGV		
10	water system and gaining PUC approval (DW xx-xxx). The recovery of these		
11	costs is consistent with the PUC's approval of similar due diligence costs incurred		
12	when AWC purchased LL, WR and Rosebrook. TGV is proposing to amortize		
13	the due diligence costs over 15 years. The annual amortization expense is \$2,232.		
14	Also, see Schedule 3B.		
15			
16	Taxes other than $Income - \$1,031$		
17			
18	Total annual property taxes for the Town of Gilford are \$1,523. The		
19	amount of property taxes reflected in the test year was \$492, resulting in a pro		
20	forma adjustment of \$1,031.		
21			
22	In 2020 TGV anticipates adding \$34,932 to plant in service. The addition		
23	of \$34,932 to plant in service increases the property tax valuation for both state		
24	and local property taxes. The calculation of the increase amounts to \$175 and		
25	\$370 for state and local property taxes, respectively. Also, see Schedule 3B.		
26			
27	Income Taxes $-$ \$7,562		
28			
29	The Company has provided the calculation of the federal income taxes and		
30	the state business taxes (Schedule 1B). The Company has also provided the		
31	effective tax factor (Schedule 1C).		
32			
33	The total pro forma adjustments to Operating Expenses amount to		
34	\$12,554.		
35			
36	The net of the pro forma adjustments to operating revenue of \$47,942 and		
37	the pro forma adjustments to operating expenses of \$12,554 results in a net pro		
38	forma adjustment of \$35,388. When the net operating income associated with the		
39	pro forma adjustments is added to net operating income from the test year, the pro		
40	forma test year net operating income totals \$11,544. The pro forma test year net		
41	operating income of \$11,544 allows TGV to cover its expenses and earn its		
42	proposed 7.95% return on its investments.		
43			
44			
45			
15			

1 2 3 4	Stephen P. St. Cyr & Associates 17 Sky Oaks Drive Biddeford, Me. 04005 207-423-0215		
5	stephenpstcyr@yahoo.com		
6 7 8 9	Q.	Does that complete your description of the pro forma adjustments to revenues and expenses?	
10 11	А.	Yes.	
12 13	Q.	Please describe Schedule 2, the Balance Sheet.	
13 14 15	A.	See Total Company Balance Sheet.	
16 17 18	Q.	Please continue with an explanation of Schedule 3, Rate Base and the supporting schedule.	
19 20 21 22 23 24 25	A.	Schedule 3 reflects TGV Rate Base for both the 5 quarter average and the proforma year-end balance. Column $b - f$ shows the actual balance at the end of each quarter. Column g shows the average of the 5 quarter balances. Column h shows the proforma adjustments. Column i shows the proforma year-end balance. Please note that the December 2018 and March 2019 balances are before AWC purchase of TGV. As such, the December 2018 and the March 2019 balances are assumed to be the same as June 2019.	
26 27 28 29 30 31 32		Schedule 3A shows the Rate Base – TGV Pro forma Adjustments. Pro forma adjustments 1, 4, 8, 9, 10, 11 & 12 adjust the 5 quarter average balances to the December 31, 2019 balances. It is appropriate to use the December 31, 2019 balance since all of the invested capital is fully "used and useful," providing service to customers with no increase in customers during the test year.	
33 34 35		Adjustment #2 is the addition to plant in service for the anticipated additions to plant in 2020 amounting to \$1,471. Also, see Schedule 3B.	
36 37 38 39		Adjustment #3 is the addition to plant in service for the due diligence costs of \$33,461 in the process of purchasing the TGV water system and gaining PUC approval (DW xx-xxx). Also, see Schedule 3B.	
40 41 42 43		Adjustment #s 5 and 6 are the additions to accumulated depreciation for the additional ½ year depreciation of \$783 on 2019 additions and the ½ year depreciation of \$50 on anticipated 2020 additions to plant. Also, see Schedule 3B.	
44 45 46		Adjustments #7 is the adjustments related to the due diligence costs of \$33,461 in the process of purchasing the TGV water system and gaining PUC approval (DW 18-108). Also, see Schedule 3B.	

Stephen P. St. Cyr & Associates 17 Sky Oaks Drive Biddeford, Me. 04005		
207-423-0215 stephenpstcyr@yahoo.com		
	Adjustment #13 pertains to cash working capital and shows the additional cash working capital due to the proposed increase in O&M expenses. The cash working capital balances are further supported by Schedules 3C.	
	The Total Pro Forma December 31, 2019 Rate Base balance amounts to \$25,289.	
Q.	Would you please explain Schedule 4, Rate of Return Information?	
A.	See Total Company Capital Structure and Rate of Return Information. Please note that the Capital Structure and Rate of Return Information is for AWC (Total Company) and not just TGV.	
Q.	Please explain the Report of Proposed Rate Changes.	
A.	If TGV's rate filing is approved as submitted, its total water Operating Revenues will amount to \$76,102, an increase of \$47,269.	
Q.	Is TGV proposing any changes to the methodology used in calculating the rates?	
A.	No. TGV is calculating the new rates in a manner consistent with its present rates.	
Q.	When is TGV proposing that the new rates be effective?	
A.	The proposed effective date is 30 days from TGV's rate filing.	
Q.	Is TGV proposing temporary rates?	
A.	Yes. TGV expects to file a separate temporary rate filing, approximately $1 - 2$ weeks after the permanent rate filing.	
Q.	Would you please summarize what the TGV is requesting in its rate filing?	
A.	TGV respectfully requests that the Commissioners approve an increase in annual revenues of \$47,269 for permanent rates.	
Q.	Is there anything further that you would like to discuss?	
A.	No, there is nothing further to my testimony as it pertains to TGV.	
	17 Sky Biddef 207-42 stephe: Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	

- 1 Stephen P. St. Cyr & Associates
- 2 17 Sky Oaks Drive
- 3 Biddeford, Me. 04005
- 4 207-423-0215

27

- 5 stephenpstcyr@yahoo.com 6
- 7 Q. Please begin by describing the **TB Water Schedules**.
- 8 9 Α. The schedule entitled "Computation of Revenue Deficiency for the Test Year 10 ended December 31, 2019," summarizes the supporting schedules. The actual 11 revenue (deficiency) surplus for TB for the test year amounts to (\$37,089). It is 12 based upon an actual test year with a 5 quarter average rate base of \$72,615 as 13 summarized in Schedule 3. AWC's actual rate of return is 7.81% for the actual 14 test year. The rate of return of 7.81%, when multiplied by the rate base of 15 \$72,615, results in an operating income requirement of \$5,671. As shown on 16 Schedule 1, the actual net operating income (loss) for TB for the test year was 17 (\$31,418). The operating income required, less the net operating income (loss), 18 results in an operating income (deficiency) surplus before taxes of (\$37,089). TB 19 did not calculate the tax effect of the revenue deficiency, resulting in a revenue 20 (deficiency) surplus for TB of (\$37,089).
- The pro forma revenue deficiency for TB for the test year amounts to zero. It is based upon a pro formed test year rate base of \$199,606, as summarized in Schedule 3. AWC is utilizing a pro formed rate of return of 7.95% for the pro formed test year. The pro formed rate of return of 7.95% when multiplied by the rate base of \$199,606, results in an operating net income requirement of \$15,569.
- As shown on Schedule 1, the pro formed net operating income for TB for the test year is \$15,869. The operating income required, less the net operating income, results in a deficiency of zero. The tax effect of the deficiency is zero, resulting in a revenue deficiency for TB of zero.
- 33 Schedule 1 reflects TB's Statements of Income for the 12 months ended 4/30/20. 34 As previously stated, TB is using the 12 months ended 4/30/20 because there were 35 only 8 months of actual data in the 2019 test year. Column b shows the actual 12 36 months ended 4/30/20. Column c shows pro forma adjustments for known and 37 measurable changes to test year revenues and expenses. Column d shows the pro 38 forma 12 months ended 4/30/20. The 12 months ended 4/30/19 and 4/30/18 was 39 prior to AWC's ownership. During the 12 months ended 4/30/20, TGV net 40 income (loss) was (\$32,136). 41
- Schedule 1A shows the pro forma adjustments to revenue and expenses. The
 Company made 1 pro forma adjustment to operating revenues totaling \$78,403
 and a few pro forma adjustments to operating expenses totaling \$31,116. The
 specific pro forma adjustments are identified on the Statement of Income Pro
 forma Adjustments (Schedule 1A). A brief explanation is as follows:

1	Stephen P. St. Cyr & Associates 17 Sky Oaks Drive		
2 3			
4	Biddeford, Me. 04005 207-423-0215		
5	stephenpstcyr@yahoo.com		
6	stephenpsteyr@yanoo.com		
7	Pro forma Adjustment to Operating Revenues		
8	110 Ionna Aufustinent to operating Revenues		
9	Operating Revenues – \$78,403		
10			
11	The Company has increased test year revenues for the proposed amount of		
12	revenues necessary to cover its expenses and allow it to earn its proposed rate of		
13	return.		
14			
15	Pro forma Adjustments to Operating Expense		
16			
17	Operating Expenses:		
18			
19	Lease Agreements - \$179		
20			
21	During the test year TB incurred \$275 for rent of lease space, both at		
22	Laconia, NH and Plainville, CT. In 2020 NESC increased its rent to \$454,		
23	resulting in an increase of \$179.		
24			
25	<u>PUC Audit - \$500</u>		
26			
27	In anticipation of a PUC audit, AWC estimated that it will incur \$7,500.		
28	The estimated costs of \$7,500 will be equally allocated among the 5 systems		
29	participating in this rate case, resulting \$1,500 costs per system. No such audit		
30	expenses are reflected in the test year. TB is proposing to recover the proposed		
31	audit expense of \$1,500 over 3 years, resulting in a test year adjustment of \$500.		
32			
33	Depreciation Expense - \$1,752		
34			
35	In 2019 TB added \$8,512 to plant in service. It recorded \$211 for related		
36	depreciation. The \$211 of depreciation represents 1/2 year. The pro forma		
37	adjustment for \$211 represents the additional $\frac{1}{2}$ year depreciation in order to		
38	reflect the full year's depreciation of \$422. Also, see Schedule 3B.		
39			
40	In 2020 TB anticipates adding \$53,502 to plant in service. The pro forma		
41	adjustment for \$1,541 represents the full year's depreciation on the anticipated		
42	2020 plant in service. Also, see Schedule 3B.		
43			
44			
45			
46			

1	Stephen P. St. Cyr & Associates		
2	17 Sky Oaks Drive		
3	Biddeford, Me. 04005		
4	207-423-0215		
5	stephenpstcyr@yahoo.com		
6			
7	Amortization of CIAC - \$60		
8			
9	In 2020, NHDES approved a grant of \$5,000 as part of its approval of a		
10	\$45,000 loan / \$5,000 grant special project from the NH DWGTF for a larger		
11	storage tank and system isolation valves. TB allocated the grant to the tank and		
12	valves with lives of 40 and 50 years, respectively. The annual amortization of		
13	CIAC amounts to \$60. Also, see Schedule 3B.		
14			
15	<u>Amortization Expenses – Other - \$5,335</u>		
16			
17	AWC incurred due diligence costs in the process of purchasing the TB		
18	water system and gaining PUC approval (DW xx-xxx). The recovery of these		
19	costs is consistent with the PUC's approval of similar due diligence costs incurred		
20	when AWC purchased LL, WR and Rosebrook. TB is proposing to amortize the		
21	due diligence costs over 15 years. The annual amortization expense is \$2,146.		
22	Also, see Schedule 3B.		
23			
24	In 2019 TB incurred significant expenditures associated with a main break		
25	and the purchase of water to provide to customers during the outage. The		
26	expenditures amounted to \$31,890. TB deferred such costs. It is now proposing		
27	to seek recovery over a ten year period. The annual costs amounts to \$3,189.		
28	Also, see Schedule 3C.		
29			
30	<u>Taxes other than Income – \$11,430</u>		
31			
32	Total annual property taxes for the Town of Belmont are \$11,730. The		
33	amount of property taxes reflected in the test year was \$300, resulting in a pro		
34	forma adjustment of \$11,430.		
35			
36	In 2020 TB anticipates adding \$85,677 to plant in service. The addition of		
37	\$85,677 to plant in service increases the property tax valuation for both state and		
38	local property taxes. The calculation of the increase amounts to \$433 and \$1,952		
39	for state and local property taxes, respectively. Also, see Schedule 3B.		
40			
41	<u>Income Taxes – \$11,860</u>		
42			
43	The Company has provided the calculation of the federal income taxes and		
44	the state business taxes (Schedule 1B). The Company has also provided the		
45	effective tax factor (Schedule 1C).		
46			

1 2	Stephen P. St. Cyr & Associates 17 Sky Oaks Drive			
3	Biddeford, Me. 04005			
4		207-423-0215		
5	stephe	enpstcyr@yahoo.com		
6		The total and former a direction and to Operations Francesco and and to		
7 8		The total pro forma adjustments to Operating Expenses amount to		
° 9		\$31,116.		
10		The net of the pro forma adjustments to operating revenue of \$78,403 and		
11		the pro forma adjustments to operating expenses of \$31,116 results in a net pro		
12		forma adjustment of \$47,287. When the net operating income associated with the		
13		pro forma adjustments is added to net operating income from the test year, the pro		
14		forma test year net operating income totals \$15,869. The pro forma test year net		
15		operating income of \$15,869 allows TB to cover its expenses and earn its		
16		proposed 7.95% return on its investments.		
17				
18	Q.	Does that complete your description of the pro forma adjustments to revenues and		
19		expenses?		
20				
21	А.	Yes.		
22	~			
23	Q.	Please describe Schedule 2, the Balance Sheet.		
24				
25	Α.	See Total Company Balance Sheet.		
26 27	0	Diago continue with an explanation of Schedule 2. Bate Dage and the supporting		
27	Q.	Please continue with an explanation of Schedule 3, Rate Base and the supporting schedule.		
28 29		Schedule.		
30	A.	Schedule 3 reflects TB Rate Base for both the 5 quarter average and the pro forma		
31	Π.	year-end balance. Column $b - f$ shows the actual balance at the end of each		
32		quarter. Column g shows the average of the 5 quarter balances. Column h shows		
33		the pro forma adjustments. Column i shows the pro forma year-end balance.		
34		Please note that the December 2018 and March 2019 balances are before AWC		
35		purchase of TB. As such, the December 2018 and the March 2019 balances are		
36		assumed to be the same as June 2019.		
37				
38		Schedule 3A shows the Rate Base – TB Pro forma Adjustments. Pro forma		
39		adjustments 1, 4, 8, 11, 13 & 15 adjust the 5 quarter average balances to the		
40		December 31, 2019 balances. It is appropriate to use the December 31, 2019		
41		balance since all of the invested capital is fully "used and useful," providing		
42		service to customers with no increase in customers during the test year.		
43				
44		Adjustment #2 is the addition to plant in service for the anticipated additions to		
45		plant in 2020 amounting to \$53,502. Also, see Schedule 3B.		
46				

1 2 3 4		Adjustment #3 is the addition to plant in service for the due diligence costs of \$32,175 in the process of purchasing the TB water system and gaining PUC approval (DW 18-108). Also, see Schedule 3B.
5 6 7 8		Adjustment #s 5 and 6 are the additions to accumulated depreciation for the additional ½ year depreciation of \$211 on 2019 additions and the ½ year depreciation of \$711 on anticipated 2020 additions to plant. Also, see Schedule 3B.
9 10 11 12 13		Adjustments #7 is the adjustments related to the due diligence costs of \$32,851 in the process of purchasing the TB water system and gaining PUC approval (DW xx-xxx). Also, see Schedule 3B.
13 14 15 16 17		Adjustments #9 & #10 are the 2019 main break and purchase of water amounting to $31,890$ and the related $\frac{1}{2}$ year amortization of such amount over 10 years amounting to $1,595$. Also, see Schedule 3C.
17 18 19 20 21		Adjustment #s 12 and 14 are related to the \$5,000 grant for the tank/valves reflected as CIAC and the ½ year amortization of CIAC amounting to \$60. Also, see Schedule 3B.
22 23 24 25		Adjustment #15 pertains to cash working capital and shows the additional cash working capital due to the proposed increase in O&M expenses. The cash working capital balances are further supported by Schedules 3C.
23 26 27 28		The Total Pro Forma December 31, 2019 Rate Base balance amounts to \$126,991.
29 30	Q.	Would you please explain Schedule 4, Rate of Return Information?
31 32 33 34	A.	See Total Company Capital Structure and Rate of Return Information. Please note that the Capital Structure and Rate of Return Information is for AWC (Total Company) and not just TB.
35 36	Q.	Please explain the Report of Proposed Rate Changes.
37 38 39	A.	If TB's rate filing is approved as submitted, its total water Operating Revenues will amount to \$96,509, an increase of \$78,163.
40 41	Q.	Is TB proposing any changes to the methodology used in calculating the rates?
42 43	A.	No. TB is calculating the new rates in a manner consistent with its present rates.
44 45	Q.	When is TB proposing that the new rates be effective?
46	A.	The proposed effective date is 30 days from TB's rate filing.

1 2 3	Stephen P. St. Cyr & Associates 17 Sky Oaks Drive Biddeford, Me. 04005		
4	207-423-0215		
5	stephenpstcyr@yahoo.com		
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q.	Is TB proposing temporary rates?	
	Α.	Yes. TB expects to file a separate temporary rate filing, approximately $1 - 2$ weeks after the permanent rate filing.	
	Q.	Would you please summarize what the TB is requesting in its rate filing?	
14 15	А.	TB respectfully requests that the Commissioners approve an increase in annual revenues of \$78,163 for permanent rates.	
16 17 18	Q.	Is there anything further that you would like to discuss?	
19 20	Α.	No, there is nothing further to my testimony as it pertains to TB.	
21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42	Q.	Please begin by describing the AWC Combined Water Schedules.	
	A.	The schedule entitled "Computation of Revenue Deficiency for the Test Year ended December 31, 2019," summarizes the supporting schedules. The actual revenue (deficiency) surplus for AWC Combined for the test year amounts to (\$100,461). It is based upon an actual test year with a 5 quarter average rate base of \$734,721 as summarized in Schedule 3. AWC's actual rate of return is 7.81% for the actual test year. The rate of return of 7.81%, when multiplied by the rate base of \$734,721, results in an operating income requirement of \$57,382. As shown on Schedule 1, the actual net operating income (loss) for AWC Combined for the test year was (\$43,079). The operating income (loss) for AWC Combined for the test year was (\$43,079). The operating income (deficiency) surplus before taxes of (\$100,461). AWC Combined did not calculate the tax effect of the revenue deficiency, resulting in a revenue (deficiency) surplus of (\$100,461). The pro forma revenue deficiency for AWC Combined for the test year amounts to zero. It is based upon a pro formed test year rate base of \$1,153,159, as summarized in Schedule 3. AWC is utilizing a pro formed rate of return of 7.95% for the pro formed test year. The pro formed rate of return of 7.95% when multiplied by the rate base of \$1,153,159, results in an operating net income requirement of \$91,676.	
43 44 45 46		As shown on Schedule 1, the pro formed net operating income for AWC Combined for the test year is \$91,676. The operating income required, less the net operating income, results in a deficiency of zero. The tax effect of the deficiency is zero, resulting in a revenue deficiency for AWC Combined of zero.	

1	Stephen P. St. Cyr & Associates		
2	17 Sky Oaks Drive		
3	Biddeford, Me. 04005		
4	207-423-0215		
5	stephenpstcyr@yahoo.com		
6	stephenpste J. W. Juno S. com		
7		Schedule 1 reflects test year Statements of Income for LL Water, WR, TGV &	
8		TB, the combined Statements of Income, the Pro Forma Adjustments and the	
9		Combined Pro Forma Balances.	
10		Combined 110 1 onna Dalances.	
11		Schedule 3 reflects the 5 quarter averages for LL Water, WR, TGV & TB, the	
12		• • •	
12		combined 5 quarter averages, the Pro Forma Adjustments and the Combined Pro Forma Balances.	
13		Forma Datances.	
14	0	Diago aurilain the Depart of Drangood Date Changes for the AWC Combined	
15 16	Q.	Please explain the Report of Proposed Rate Changes for the AWC Combined.	
	٨	If A WC Combined rate filing is engraved as submitted, its total water Oraceting	
17	A.	If AWC Combined rate filing is approved as submitted, its total water Operating	
18 19		Revenues will amount to \$483,229, an increase of \$228,009.	
	0	Is there exist in a close that some event d like to some characteristic Densert of Densert d	
20	Q.	Is there anything else that you would like to say about the Report of Proposed	
21		Rate Changes for the AWC Combined?	
22			
23	A.	Yes, please note that LL Water, WR, TGV & TB bill monthly. LL Water has	
24		multiple classes of customers. WR, TGV & TB have just one class. TGV has 3	
25		customers that consist of multi-family units. TGV also has a few customers that	
26		may be considered commercial? This is all to say that if the water entities'	
27		proposal to consolidate rates is put into place, a complete review of each customer	
28		base should be made and adjusted as appropriate.	
29	-		
30	Q.	Is there anything else that you would like to address?	
31			
32	А.	Yes. AWC has engaged the services of Stephen P. St. Cyr & Associates to	
33		prepare the rate filing and pursue the rate increase throughout the rate case	
34		proceeding. St. Cyr & Associates and AWC have agreed on a per hour fee of	
35		\$140.00 for each hour of work performed. AWC and I believe that the fees are	
36		fair and reasonable. At this point, AWC does not anticipate utilizing outside legal	
37		counsel.	
38			
39	Q.	Is there anything else that you would like to address?	
40			
41	А.	Yes. The water entities will pursue temporary rates as part of this rate case filing.	
42		The temporary rate filing will be filed under a separate cover letter within 1 -2	
43		weeks.	
44			
45			
46			

- Stephen P. St. Cyr & Associates 17 Sky Oaks Drive 1
- 2
- Biddeford, Me. 04005
- 3 4 207-423-0215
- stephenpstcyr@yahoo.com
- Does this conclude your testimony? Q.
- 5 6 7 8 9 A. Yes. 10 11 12 13 SPSt. Cyr 14 09/11/20
- 15 16